UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,695	08/08/2006	Friedbert Wechs	2037.7	8355
	7590 03/23/201 ASSOCIATES, P.C.	EXAMINER		
3125 SPRINGE		GONZALEZ, MADELINE		
SUITE G CHARLOTTE,	NC 28226		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1778	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/588,695	WECHS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MADELINE GONZALEZ	1778				
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 De	ecember 2011					
· · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during th	e interview on			
٥٫١	; the restriction requirement and election	·	-				
4)Γ	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	•		e merits is			
• / 🗖	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disno	sition of Claims	,, pane aday, e, 1000 0121 11, 10					
-							
6)[ 7) <b>[</b> 8)[	Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-17 and 19 is/are pending in the application.  5a) Of the above claim(s) 10-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-9 and 19 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
<ul> <li>10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachm	nent(s)						
1)	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Status

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9 and 19 are rejected.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 18 and 20 are cancelled.

Claims 10-17 are withdrawn.

### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on December 19, 2011, disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of any patent granted on Application Number 10/588,016, has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.

The person who signed the terminal disclaimer is not recognized as an officer of the assignee, and he/she has not been established as being authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 324.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Art Unit: 1778

The limitation "the lumen" in line 13 lacks antecedent basis.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an

Application/Control Number: 10/588,695

Art Unit: 1778

invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9, and 19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12, 14-16 and 18 of copending Application No. 10/588,016. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose a hollow fiber membrane with polyelectrolyte bound in the separating layer.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-9 and 19 would be allowable the double patenting rejection is overcome.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 1 would be allowed because the prior art of record does not show or suggest a semipermeable hollow-fiber membrane, characterized in that a polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges is physically bound in the separating layer, characterized in that the supporting layer being essentially free

Application/Control Number: 10/588,695

Art Unit: 1778

from polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges, in combination with the remaining limitations in the claims. The Wang reference lacks a polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges physically bound in the separating layer. The Chu reference teaches an anionic charge modifying agent bonded to substantially all of the membrane microstructure (see col. 9, lines 15-24). Chu does not teaches that the supporting layer is essentially free from polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges, and since Chu teaches that substantially all of the membrane microstructure has the polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges, there is no reason or motivation to provide the supporting layer essentially free from polyelectrolyte with negative fixed charges.

Claims 4, 5, 7-9 and 19 would be allowed due to their dependency on claim 1.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 18-20, filed December 19, 2011, with respect to claims 1 and 18, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1778

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MADELINE GONZALEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-5502. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, Th, F- 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,695 Page 7

Art Unit: 1778

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1778

Madeline Gonzalez Patent Examiner March 21, 2012